EXHIBIT E

05-44481-rdd Doc 20841-6 Filed 11/23/10 Entered 11/23/10 11:37:12 Exhibit E. 3/22/06 Transcript Excerpts Pg 2 of 5

1.	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	SOUTHERN DIS	TRICT OF NEW YORK
3	IN RE:	
4		. Case No. 05-44481
5	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al,	. Wednesday, March 22, 2006
6	Debtors.	. 2:18 p.m.
7		
8	TRANSCRIPT OF SECTION 1102(a)(2) EVIDENTIARY HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN	
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
10	APPEARANCES:	
1.1	1	John Wm. Butler, Jr., Esq. David E. Springer, Esq.
12		Dhananjai Shivakumar, Esq. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
13		& FLOM, LLP 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
14		Chicago, Illinois 60606
15		Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Esq. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
16	li .	Four Times Square New York, New York 10036
17	(Appearances continued)	
18		Electronically Recorded
19	1	by Greg White, ECRO
20	H	Rand Transcript Service, Inc. 311 Cheyenne Road
21	I	Lafayette, New Jersey 07848 (973) 383-6977
22		(373) 303 037,
23	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.	
24		
25	17 11881	

1	APPEARANCES: (Contir	nued)
2	For Appaloosa	oman E. Tannia Ban
3	Ruc	omas E. Lauria, Esq. dolph F. Aragon, Esq. ank L. Eaton, Esq.
4	ll .	TTE & CASE, LLP chovia Financial Center
5	[]	South Biscayne Boulevard te 4900
6	Mia	mi, Florida 33131
7		enn M. Kurtz, Esq. Iglas P. Baumstein, Esq.
8	WHI WHI	TTE & CASE, LLP So Avenue of the Americas
9	i e	York, New York 10036
10	i i	cia M. Leonhard, Esq.
11	ប.ន	S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Sice of the U.S. Trustee
12	33	Whitehall Street, Suite 2100 York, New York 10004
13	li e	LOZK, NOW TOLK TOOUT
14][ert J. Rosenberg, Esg.
15	Joh	n W. Weiss, Esq. CHAM & WATKINS, LLP
16	53r	ed at Third, 885 Third Avenue Y York, New York 10022
17		TOTA, New TOTA 10022
18	· i	neth S. Ziman, Esq. ert Trust, Esq.
19	SIM	PSON, THACHER & BARTLETT, LLP Lexington Avenue
20		York, New York 10017
21		in] A Torrowthal Har
22	THE	iel A. Lowenthal, Esq. LEN, REID & PRIEST, LLP
23		Third Avenue York, New York 10022
24		
25		

Court Decision

not a simple balance sheet restructuring where the capital structure simply needs to be adjusted because there's too much funded debt on the books.

There are serious -- to use the debtors' phrase "transformational issues" that have to be resolved here.

Because of that, I believe that this is the appropriate time to move for an equity committee, and not to wait until later in the day when a plan is actually being negotiated.

I also believe as a corollary to that, the function of the equity committee and the makeup of its professional advisors should be reflected by this timing. As I'll say later, again, I think this leads to the conclusion that although it's not before me, except in my need to weigh the cost of an equity committee's appointment, that it's unlikely that I would approve the retention of investment bankers and accountants or even actuaries at this time for an equity committee, since those functions are not really the functions that need to be performed at this time by an equity committee.

So that in contrast, while in the <u>Loral</u> case I believe that it was incumbent to have an equity committee, if at all, towards the end of the case, here, I believe if it is incumbent on there being an equity committee, this is the time to have one formed.

It is even conceivable to me that if I did form an

175 Court Decision equity committee now and it turned out that ultimately I 1 2 approved interim transformational solutions -transformational solutions to the labor and related pension 3 4 and GM problems that the debtors face--it might be appropriate to disband the equity committee because, in light 5 of those solutions, it might appear clearly at that time that 6 the debtor was hopelessly insolvent or at least that it was 7 likely that there would be no distribution to shareholders. 8 But because of the importance of those pending 9 issues, one could at least see a rationale for having an 10 equity committee with counsel in the near future to deal at 11 least with those transformational issues on behalf of the 12 shareholders. 13 Now, as far as whether the debtor is insolvent or 14 15 hopelessly insolvent or there is a likelihood of a meaningful distribution to shareholders, I am at this time on this 16 record frankly skeptical that there will be a meaningful 17 distribution, but I'm not prepared to rule it out. I say 18 that for a number of reasons. 19 First of all, it's undisputed that on a balance-20 sheet basis, and it is correct that the movants' experts did 21 not disagree that on a balance sheet basis, the debtors' 22 23 operating -- most recent operating numbers comply with GAAP,

The question, obviously, is how does one fill that

there is roughly a 6.3-billion-dollar hole, or insolvency.

24

25